

Fayetteville State University



Report Sections

About Your Engagement Indicators Report

Engagement Indicators (EIs) provide a useful summary of the detailed information contained in your students' NSSE responses. By combining responses to related NSSE questions, each EI offers valuable information about a distinct aspect of student engagement. Ten indicators, based on three to eight survey questions each (a total of 47 survey questions), are organized into four broad themes as shown at right.

| Theme | Engagement Indicator |
|---|-----------------------------------|
| | Higher-Order Learning |
| Academic Challenge | Reflective & Integrative Learning |
| , i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i | Learning Strategies |
| | Quantitative Reasoning |
| | Collaborative Learning |
| Learning with Peers | Discussions with Diverse Others |
| | |
| Experiences with Faculty | Student-Faculty Interaction |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Effective Teaching Practices |
| | Quality of Interactions |
| Campus Environment | Quality of Interactions |
| | Supportive Environment |

Displays how average EI scores for your students compare with those of students at your comparison Overview (p. 3) group institutions. Detailed views of EI scores within the four themes for your students and those at comparison group Theme Reports (pp. 4-13) institutions. Three views offer varied insights into your EI scores: Mean Comparisons Straightforward comparisons of average scores between your students and those at comparison group institutions, with tests of significance and effect sizes (see below). Score Distributions Box-and-whisker charts show the variation in scores within your institution and comparison groups. Performance on Indicator Items Responses to each item in a given EI are summarized for your institution and comparison groups. Comparisons with High-Comparisons of your students' average scores on each EI with those of students at institutions whose Performing Institutions (p. 15) average scores were in the top 50% and top 10% of 2016 and 2017 participating institutions. Detailed Statistics (pp. 16-19) Detailed information about EI score means, distributions, and tests of statistical significance.

Interpreting Comparisons

Mean comparisons report both statistical significance and effect size. Effect size indicates the practical importance of an observed difference. For EI comparisons, NSSE research has concluded that an effect size of about .1 may be considered small, .3 medium, and .5 large (Rocconi & Gonyea, 2015). Comparisons with an effect size of at least .3 in magnitude (before rounding) are highlighted in the Overview (p. 3).

Els vary more among students within an institution than between institutions, like many experiences and outcomes in higher education. As a result, focusing attention on average scores alone amounts to examining the tip of the iceberg. It's equally important to understand how student engagement varies within your institution. Score distributions indicate how El scores vary among your students and those in your comparison groups. The Report Builder—Institution Version and your *Major Field Report* (both to be released in the fall) offer valuable perspectives on internal variation and help you investigate your students' engagement in depth.

How Engagement Indicators are Computed

Each EI is scored on a 60-point scale. To produce an indicator score, the response set for each item is converted to a 60-point scale (e.g., Never = 0; Sometimes = 20; Often = 40; Very often = 60), and the rescaled items are averaged. Thus a score of zero means a student responded at the bottom of the scale for every item in the EI, while a score of 60 indicates responses at the top of the scale on every item.

For more information on EIs and their psychometric properties, refer to the NSSE website: nsse.indiana.edu

Rocconi, L., & Gonyea, R. M. (2015, May). Contextualizing student engagement effect sizes: An empirical analysis. Paper presented at the Association for Institutional Research Annual Forum, Denver, CO.



Overview

Fayetteville State University

Engagement Indicators: Overview

Engagement Indicators are summary measures based on sets of NSSE questions examining key dimensions of student engagement. The ten indicators are organized within four broad themes: Academic Challenge, Learning with Peers, Experiences with Faculty, and Campus Environment. The tables below compare average scores for your students with those in your comparison groups.

Use the following key:

Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

 \land Your students' average was significantly higher (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.

-- No significant difference.

Vour students' average was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size less than .3 in magnitude.

Your students' average was significantly lower (p < .05) with an effect size at least .3 in magnitude.

| st-Year Stud | ents | Your first-year students compared with | Your first-year students compared with | Your first-year students compared with |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Theme | Engagement Indicator | Southeast Public | Carnegie Class | NSSE 2016 & 2017 |
| | Higher-Order Learning | | | |
| Academic | Reflective & Integrative Learning | | | |
| Challenge | Learning Strategies | | \wedge | Δ |
| | Quantitative Reasoning | | | |
| Learning with | Collaborative Learning | | | |
| Peers | Discussions with Diverse Others | | | |
| Experiences | Student-Faculty Interaction | | | |
| with Faculty | Effective Teaching Practices | | | |
| Campus | Quality of Interactions | | | |
| Environment | Supportive Environment | | | |

Seniors

| | | Your seniors compared with | Your seniors compared with | h Your seniors compared with |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| Theme | Engagement Indicator | Southeast Public | Carnegie Class | NSSE 2016 & 2017 |
| | Higher-Order Learning | Δ | Δ | Δ |
| Academic | Reflective & Integrative Learning | | | |
| Challenge | Learning Strategies | | | |
| | Quantitative Reasoning | | | |
| Learning with | Collaborative Learning | | | ∇ |
| Peers | Discussions with Diverse Others | | Δ | |
| Experiences | Student-Faculty Interaction | | | |
| with Faculty | Effective Teaching Practices | Δ | Δ | Δ |
| Campus | Quality of Interactions | \land | | \land |
| Environment | Supportive Environment | | Δ | $\mathbf{\Delta}$ |



Academic Challenge


Fayetteville State University

Academic Challenge: First-year students

Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to student learning and collegiate quality. Colleges and universities promote student learning by challenging and supporting them to engage in various forms of deep learning. Four Engagement Indicators are part of this theme: *Higher-Order Learning, Reflective & Integrative Learning, Learning Strategies,* and *Quantitative Reasoning.* Below and on the next page are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

| Mean Comparisons | Fayetteville | | Your | first-year studen | ts compared | with | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|------|--------------------------|-------------|---------|--------------------------------|
| | State | Southeast Public Effect | | Carnegie Class Effect | | NSSE 20 | 16 & 2017 Effect |
| Engagement Indicator | Mean | Mean | size | Mean | size | Mean | size |
| Higher-Order Learning | 38.1 | 37.8 | .02 | 37.5 | .04 | 37.9 | .01 |
| Reflective & Integrative Learning | 36.4 | 34.6 | .15 | 35.1 | .11 | 35.0 | .12 |
| Learning Strategies | 41.7 | 38.7 | .22 | 38.3 * | .25 | 38.3 * | .25 |
| Quantitative Reasoning | 29.8 | 27.9 | .12 | 27.1 | .17 | 27.6 | .14 |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (2-tailed).



Score Distributions

Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.



Academic Challenge

Fayetteville State University

Academic Challenge: First-year students (continued)

Performance^a on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| | | Percentage point | difference between your | FY students and |
|---|--------------|---------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Higher-Order Learning | Fayetteville | Southeast Public | Carnegie Class | NSSE 2016 & 2017 |
| Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much coursework emphasized | State | FUDIC | Carriegie Class | 2017 |
| 4b. Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical problems or new situations | % 68 | -3 | -2 | |
| 4). Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical problems of new situations | 08 | -5 | -2 | + |
| 4c. Analyzing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning in depth by examining its parts | 62 | -8 | -7 | -8 |
| 4d. Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information source | 70 | +2 | +1 | +2 |
| 4e. Forming a new idea or understanding from various pieces of information | 68 | +1 | -0 | -0 |
| Reflective & Integrative Learning | | | | |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" | | | | |
| 2a. Combined ideas from different courses when completing assignments | 58 | +8 | +6 | +6 |
| 2b. Connected your learning to societal problems or issues | 58 | +8 | +6 | +6 |
| Included diverse perspectives (political, religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in course 2c. discussions or assignments | 60 | +10 | +8 | +9 |
| 2d. Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your own views on a topic or issue | 66 | +3 | +3 | +3 |
| Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining how an issue looks from his or her perspective | 65 | -3 | -4 | -4 |
| 2f. Learned something that changed the way you understand an issue or concept | 68 | +4 | +2 | +2 |
| 2g. Connected ideas from your courses to your prior experiences and knowledge | 75 | -0 | -2 | -2 |
| Learning Strategies | | | | |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" | | | | |
| 9a. Identified key information from reading assignments | 82 | +6 | +6 | +5 |
| 9b. Reviewed your notes after class | 75 | +7 | +9 | +9 |
| 9c. Summarized what you learned in class or from course materials | 75 | +10 | +12 | +12 |
| Quantitative Reasoning | | | | |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" | | | | |
| Reached conclusions based on your own analysis of numerical information (numbers, 6a. graphs, statistics, etc.) | 47 | -6 | -4 | -5 |
| Used numerical information to examine a real-world problem or issue (unemployment, climate change, public health, etc.) | 53 | +14 | +15 | +14 |
| 6c. Evaluated what others have concluded from numerical information | 41 | +2 | +4 | +3 |

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your *Institutional Report* and available on the NSSE website.



Academic Challenge


Fayetteville State University

Academic Challenge: Seniors

Challenging intellectual and creative work is central to student learning and collegiate quality. Colleges and universities promote student learning by challenging and supporting them to engage in various forms of deep learning. Four Engagement Indicators are part of this theme: *Higher-Order Learning, Reflective & Integrative Learning, Learning Strategies,* and *Quantitative Reasoning.* Below and on the next page are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

| Mean Comparisons | Fayetteville | | | Your seniors comp | pared with | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| | State | Southeas | t Public Effect | Carnegie | e Class Effect | NSSE 2016 | 5 & 2017 Effect |
| Engagement Indicator | Mean | Mean | size | Mean | size | Mean | size |
| Higher-Order Learning | 43.7 | 39.9 *** | .27 | 40.4 *** | .24 | 40.0 *** | .27 |
| Reflective & Integrative Learning | 38.9 | 37.5 | .11 | 38.6 | .02 | 38.0 | .07 |
| Learning Strategies | 45.4 | 39.5 *** | .41 | 39.0 *** | .45 | 38.7 *** | .46 |
| Quantitative Reasoning | 30.0 | 30.3 | 02 | 29.4 | .04 | 29.9 | .01 |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (2-tailed).



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores.

The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Score Distributions

Ν



Academic Challenge

Fayetteville State University

Academic Challenge: Seniors (continued)

Performance^a on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| | | Percentage po | int difference between yo | our seniors and |
|--|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| Higher-Order Learning | Fayetteville State | Southeast Public | Carnegie Class | NSSE 2016 & 2017 |
| Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much coursework emphasized | % | | | |
| 4b. Applying facts, theories, or methods to practical problems or new situations | 84 | +5 | +5 | +6 |
| 4c. Analyzing an idea, experience, or line of reasoning in depth by examining its parts | 81 | +6 | +5 | +6 |
| 4d. Evaluating a point of view, decision, or information source | 84 | +16 | +12 | +14 |
| 4e. Forming a new idea or understanding from various pieces of information | 81 | +11 | +8 | +9 |
| Reflective & Integrative Learning | | | | |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" | | | | |
| 2a. Combined ideas from different courses when completing assignments | 60 | -9 | -10 | -9 |
| 2b. Connected your learning to societal problems or issues | 61 | +2 | -3 | -0 |
| Included diverse perspectives (political, religious, racial/ethnic, gender, etc.) in course 2c. discussions or assignments | 59 | +10 | +3 | +7 |
| 2d. Examined the strengths and weaknesses of your own views on a topic or issue | 71 | +6 | +4 | +5 |
| Tried to better understand someone else's views by imagining how an issue looks from his 2e. or her perspective | 77 | +6 | +5 | +6 |
| 2f. Learned something that changed the way you understand an issue or concept | 74 | +5 | +3 | +4 |
| 2g. Connected ideas from your courses to your prior experiences and knowledge | 84 | +1 | +0 | +1 |
| Learning Strategies | | | | |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" | | | | |
| 9a. Identified key information from reading assignments | 85 | +5 | +4 | +5 |
| 9b. Reviewed your notes after class | 83 | +18 | +20 | +21 |
| 9c. Summarized what you learned in class or from course materials | 82 | +16 | +17 | +18 |
| Quantitative Reasoning | | | | |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" | | | | |
| Reached conclusions based on your own analysis of numerical information (numbers, 6a. graphs, statistics, etc.) | 55 | -2 | +1 | -1 |
| Used numerical information to examine a real-world problem or issue (unemployment, climate change, public health, etc.) | 48 | +3 | +4 | +3 |
| 6c. Evaluated what others have concluded from numerical information | 41 | -4 | -1 | -3 |

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your *Institutional Report* and available on the NSSE website.



Learning with Peers

Fayetteville State University


Learning with Peers: First-year students

Collaborating with others in mastering difficult material and developing interpersonal and social competence prepare students to deal with complex, unscripted problems they will encounter during and after college. Two Engagement Indicators make up this theme: *Collaborative Learning* and *Discussions with Diverse Others*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

| Mean Comparisons | Fayetteville | | Your | first-year studeı | nts compared v | vith | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------------|----------------|--|--|------------|
| | State | Southeast Public Effect | | | | Carnegie Class Effect | | | | 016 & 2017 |
| | Mean | Mean | size | Mean | size | Mean | Effect size | | | |
| Collaborative Learning | 34.6 | 33.1 | .11 | 33.1 | .11 | 32.2 | .17 | | | |
| Discussions with Diverse Others | 41.0 | 40.8 | .01 | 39.1 | .12 | 39.7 | .08 | | | |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (2-tailed).

Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Performance^a on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| | | Percer | ntage poin | r FY students and | | |
|---|--------------|--------|------------|-------------------|----------|-------------|
| | Fayetteville | South | | | | NSSE 2016 8 |
| Collaborative Learning | State | Put | blic | Carneg | ie Class | 2017 |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" | % | | | | | |
| Le. Asked another student to help you understand course material | 55 | +1 |) | +0 | | +3 |
| 1f. Explained course material to one or more students | 63 | +3 | 1 | +4 | 1 | +5 |
| Ig. Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material with other students | 50 | - E | -2 | - (| -1 | +0 |
| h. Worked with other students on course projects or assignments | 51 | (| -3 | | -6 | -3 |
| Discussions with Diverse Others | | | | | | |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" had discussions with | | | | | | |
| Ba. People from a race or ethnicity other than your own | 71 | - (| -2 | +2 | 1 | +0 |
| 3b. People from an economic background other than your own | 76 | +3 | | +6 | | +5 |
| 3c. People with religious beliefs other than your own | 69 | +0 | | +5 | | +2 |
| d. People with political views other than your own | 70 | 6 | -0 | +2 | Ì | +3 |

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your *Institutional Report* and available on the NSSE website.



Learning with Peers

Fayetteville State University


Learning with Peers: Seniors

Collaborating with others in mastering difficult material and developing interpersonal and social competence prepare students to deal with complex, unscripted problems they will encounter during and after college. Two Engagement Indicators make up this theme: *Collaborative Learning* and *Discussions with Diverse Others*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

| Mean Comparisons | Fayetteville | | | Your seniors com | pared with | | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|----------|---------------------|------------------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|
| | State | Southeas | st Public Effect | Carneg | ie Class Effect | NSSE 201 | 6 & 2017 Effect |
| Engagement Indicator | Mean | Mean | size | Mean | size | Mean | size |
| Collaborative Learning | 28.4 | 33.8 *** | 36 | 33.1 *** | 32 | 32.3 *** | 26 |
| Discussions with Diverse Others | 41.9 | 42.0 | 01 | 39.8 * | .13 | 40.5 | .09 |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (2-tailed).

Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Performance^a on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| | | Percentage po | int difference between yo | our seniors and |
|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | Fayetteville | Southeast | | NSSE 2016 & |
| Collaborative Learning | State | Public | Carnegie Class | 2017 |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" | % | | | |
| 1e. Asked another student to help you understand course material | 34 | -12 | -9 | -9 |
| 1f. Explained course material to one or more students | 48 | -14 | -13 | -11 |
| 1g. Prepared for exams by discussing or working through course material with other students | 44 | -7 | -5 | -3 |
| Ih. Worked with other students on course projects or assignments | 54 | -12 | -11 | -10 |
| Discussions with Diverse Others | | | | |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" had discussions with | | | | |
| Ba. People from a race or ethnicity other than your own | 79 | +4 | +10 | +7 |
| 3b. People from an economic background other than your own | 77 | +2 | +5 | +4 |
| 3c. People with religious beliefs other than your own | 68 | -2 | +3 | +0 |
| d. People with political views other than your own | 70 | -2 | +2 | +2 |

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your *Institutional Report* and available on the NSSE website.



Experiences with Faculty

Fayetteville State University


Experiences with Faculty: First-year students

Students learn firsthand how experts think about and solve problems by interacting with faculty members inside and outside of instructional settings. As a result, faculty become role models, mentors, and guides for lifelong learning. In addition, effective teaching requires that faculty deliver course material and provide feedback in student-centered ways. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: *Student-Faculty Interaction* and *Effective Teaching Practices*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

| Mean Comparisons | Fayetteville | | Your | first-year student | s compared v | vith | |
|------------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| | State | Southeas | t Public Effect | Carnegi | i e Class Effect | NSSE 2016 | 5 & 2017 Effect |
| Engagement Indicator | Mean | Mean | size | Mean | size | Mean | size |
| Student-Faculty Interaction | 26.8 | 21.0 *** | .39 | 22.3 ** | .31 | 20.6 *** | .43 |
| Effective Teaching Practices | 39.1 | 38.3 | .06 | 38.8 | .02 | 38.7 | .03 |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (2-tailed).

Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Performance^a on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| | | Percentage point | t difference between you | r FY students and |
|---|--------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|
| | Fayetteville | Southeast | | NSSE 2016 & |
| Student-Faculty Interaction | State | Public | Carnegie Class | 2017 |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" | % | | | |
| 3a. Talked about career plans with a faculty member | 58 | +21 | +19 | +23 |
| 3b. Worked w/faculty on activities other than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.) | 25 | +5 | +2 | +5 |
| 3c. Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty member outside of class | 28 | +3 | +0 | +3 |
| 3d. Discussed your academic performance with a faculty member | 51 | +20 | +18 | +22 |
| Effective Teaching Practices | | | | |
| Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much instructors have | | | | |
| 5a. Clearly explained course goals and requirements | 74 | -3 | -4 | -4 |
| 5b. Taught course sessions in an organized way | 60 | -14 | -15 | -16 |
| 5c. Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult points | 70 | -4 | -4 | -5 |
| 5d. Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress | 75 | +12 | +9 | +11 |
| 5e. Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments | 69 | +11 | +8 | +9 |

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your *Institutional Report* and available on the NSSE website.



Experiences with Faculty Fayetteville State University


Experiences with Faculty: Seniors

Students learn firsthand how experts think about and solve problems by interacting with faculty members inside and outside of instructional settings. As a result, faculty become role models, mentors, and guides for lifelong learning. In addition, effective teaching requires that faculty deliver course material and provide feedback in student-centered ways. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: *Student-Faculty Interaction* and *Effective Teaching Practices*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

| Mean Comparisons | Fayetteville | | | Your seniors com | pared with | | |
|------------------------------|--------------|-----------|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|
| | State | Southeast | t Public Effect | Carnegi | e Class Effect | NSSE 2010 | 5 & 2017 Effect |
| Engagement Indicator | Mean | Mean | size | Mean | size | Mean | size |
| Student-Faculty Interaction | 24.4 | 24.4 | .00 | 26.2 | 11 | 23.6 | .05 |
| Effective Teaching Practices | 43.2 | 39.8 *** | .25 | 40.1 *** | .23 | 39.6 *** | .26 |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (2-tailed).

Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Performance^a on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| | | Percentage po | int difference between yo | our seniors and |
|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | Fayetteville | Southeast | | NSSE 2016 & |
| Student-Faculty Interaction | State | Public | Carnegie Class | 2017 |
| Percentage of students who responded that they "Very often" or "Often" | % | | | |
| 3a. Talked about career plans with a faculty member | 48 | +3 | -2 | +5 |
| 3b. Worked w/faculty on activities other than coursework (committees, student groups, etc.) | 25 | -3 | -6 | -1 |
| 3c. Discussed course topics, ideas, or concepts with a faculty member outside of class | 33 | -1 | -4 | +1 |
| 3d. Discussed your academic performance with a faculty member | 42 | +8 | +3 | +9 |
| Effective Teaching Practices | | | | |
| Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much instructors have | | | | |
| 5a. Clearly explained course goals and requirements | 81 | -0 | +0 | +0 |
| 5b. Taught course sessions in an organized way | 80 | +2 | +2 | +2 |
| 5c. Used examples or illustrations to explain difficult points | 77 | -1 | -0 | 0 |
| 5d. Provided feedback on a draft or work in progress | 72 | +12 | +8 | +11 |
| 5e. Provided prompt and detailed feedback on tests or completed assignments | 78 | +14 | +11 | +14 |

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your *Institutional Report* and available on the NSSE website.



Campus Environment

Fayetteville State University


Campus Environment: First-year students

Students benefit and are more satisfied in supportive settings that cultivate positive relationships among students, faculty, and staff. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: *Quality of Interactions* and *Supportive Environment*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

| Mean Comparisons | Fayetteville | | Your | Your first-year students compared with | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------|--|-----------|---------|-----------|--|--|--|--|
| | State | Southeast Public | | Carne | gie Class | NSSE 20 | 16 & 2017 | | | | |
| | | | Effect | | Effect | | Effect | | | | |
| Engagement Indicator | Mean | Mean | size | Mean | size | Mean | size | | | | |
| Quality of Interactions | 41.2 | 41.3 | 01 | 42.1 | 08 | 41.7 | 04 | | | | |
| Supportive Environment | 38.9 | 37.1 | .13 | 36.4 | .19 | 36.3 | .19 | | | | |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (2-tailed).

Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Performance^a on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| | | Percentage µ | ooint difference b | etween you | r FY student | s and |
|--|--------------|--------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------------|-------|
| | Fayetteville | Southeast | | | | |
| Quality of Interactions | State | Public | Carneg | ie Class | NSSE 2016 2017 +3 | |
| Percentage rating their interactions a 6 or 7 (on a scale from 1="Poor" to 7="Excellent") with | % | _ | | | | |
| 13a. Students | 48 | -2 | I | -3 | | -3 |
| 13b. Academic advisors | 52 | +3 | +1 |) | +3 | |
| 13c. Faculty | 44 | -3 | | -7 | L L | -5 |
| 13d. Student services staff (career services, student activities, housing, etc.) | 41 | -2 | I | -4 | | -3 |
| 13e. Other administrative staff and offices (registrar, financial aid, etc.) | 47 | +7 | +3 | 1 | +5 | |
| Supportive Environment | | | | | | |
| Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much the institution emphasized | | | | | | |
| 14b. Providing support to help students succeed academically | 71 | -5 | | -5 | | -5 |
| 14c. Using learning support services (tutoring services, writing center, etc.) | 78 | +1 | +2 | | +2 | |
| 14d. Encouraging contact among students from diff. backgrounds (soc., racial/eth., relig., etc.) | 71 | +9 | +9 | | +9 | |
| 14e. Providing opportunities to be involved socially | 73 | -0 | +1 | | +2 | |
| 14f. Providing support for your overall well-being (recreation, health care, counseling, etc.) | 66 | -6 | | -3 | | -3 |
| 14g. Helping you manage your non-academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.) | 57 | +14 | +14 | | +14 | |
| 4h. Attending campus activities and events (performing arts, athletic events, etc.) | 72 | +3 | +6 | | +8 | |
| 14i. Attending events that address important social, economic, or political issues | 60 | +8 | +7 | | +9 | |

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your *Institutional Report* and available on the NSSE website.



Campus Environment

Fayetteville State University


Campus Environment: Seniors

Students benefit and are more satisfied in supportive settings that cultivate positive relationships among students, faculty, and staff. Two Engagement Indicators investigate this theme: *Quality of Interactions* and *Supportive Environment*. Below are three views of your results alongside those of your comparison groups.

| Mean Comparisons | Fayetteville | Your seniors compared with | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|----------------|--------|----------------|----------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | State | | | | | NSSE 202 | 6 & 2017 | | | | |
| Engagement Indicator | Mean | Mean | Effect size | Mean | Effect size | Mean | Effect size | | | | |
| Engagement Indicator | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quality of Interactions | 43.9 | 42.1 * | .15 | 42.9 | .09 | 42.2 * | .13 | | | | |
| Supportive Environment | 34.9 | 33.5 | .10 | 32.5 * | .17 | 32.3 ** | .18 | | | | |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by pooled standard deviation; Symbols on the Overview page are based on effect size and p before rounding; *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (2-tailed).

Score Distributions



Notes: Each box-and-whiskers chart plots the 5th (bottom of lower bar), 25th (bottom of box), 50th (middle line), 75th (top of box), and 95th (top of upper bar) percentile scores. The dot represents the mean score. Refer to Detailed Statistics for your institution's sample sizes.

Performance^a on Indicator Items

The table below displays how your students responded to each EI item, and the difference, in percentage points, between your students and those of your comparison group. Blue bars indicate how much higher your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group. Dark red bars indicate how much lower your institution's percentage is from that of the comparison group.

| | | Percentage po | int difference between yo | our seniors and |
|---|--------------|---------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| | Fayetteville | Southeast | | NSSE 2016 & |
| Quality of Interactions | State | Public | Carnegie Class | 2017 |
| Percentage rating their interactions a 6 or 7 (on a scale from $1="Poor"$ to $7="Excellent"$) with | % | | | |
| 13a. Students | 62 | +4 | +4 | +4 |
| 13b. Academic advisors | 58 | +8 | +4 | +7 |
| 13c. Faculty | 61 | +6 | +2 | +4 |
| 13d. Student services staff (career services, student activities, housing, etc.) | 42 | -0 | +0 | +1 |
| 13e. Other administrative staff and offices (registrar, financial aid, etc.) | 46 | +7 | +4 | +5 |
| Supportive Environment | | · | | |
| Percentage responding "Very much" or "Quite a bit" about how much the institution emphasized | | | | |
| 14b. Providing support to help students succeed academically | 71 | +0 | -1 | +0 |
| 14c. Using learning support services (tutoring services, writing center, etc.) | 70 | +4 | +4 | +4 |
| 14d. Encouraging contact among students from diff. backgrounds (soc., racial/eth., relig., etc.) | 63 | +8 | +9 | +9 |
| 14e. Providing opportunities to be involved socially | 67 | -1 | +3 | +3 |
| 14f. Providing support for your overall well-being (recreation, health care, counseling, etc.) | 60 | -5 | : -O | -1 |
| 14g. Helping you manage your non-academic responsibilities (work, family, etc.) | 34 | +3 | +3 | +3 |
| 4h. Attending campus activities and events (performing arts, athletic events, etc.) | 53 | -7 | +0 | -0 |
| 14i. Attending events that address important social, economic, or political issues | 52 | +8 | +8 | +9 |

Notes: Refer to your *Frequencies and Statistical Comparisons* report for full distributions and significance tests. Item numbering corresponds to the survey facsimile included in your *Institutional Report* and available on the NSSE website.

This page intentionally left blank.



Comparisons with High-Performing Institutions Fayetteville State University

Comparisons with Top 50% and Top 10% Institutions

While NSSE's policy is not to rank institutions (see **nsse.indiana.edu/html/position_policies.cfm**), the results below are designed to compare the engagement of your students with those attending two groups of institutions identified by NSSE^a for their high average levels of student engagement:

(a) institutions with average scores placing them in the top 50% of all 2016 and 2017 NSSE institutions, and

(b) institutions with average scores placing them in the top 10% of all 2016 and 2017 NSSE institutions.

While the average scores for most institutions are below the mean for the top 50% or top 10%, your institution may show areas of distinction where your average student was as engaged as (or even more engaged than) the typical student at high-performing institutions. A check mark (\checkmark) signifies those comparisons where your average score was at least comparable^b to that of the high-performing group. However, the presence of a check mark does not necessarily mean that your institution was a member of that group.

It should be noted that most of the variability in student engagement is within, not between, institutions. Even "high-performing" institutions have students with engagement levels below the average for all institutions.

| First-Year | Students | | | Your first-year studen | ts compared with | 1 | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------|------------------------|------------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | Fayetteville State | NSSE | Top 50% | NSSE T | op 10% | |
| Theme | Engagement Indicator | Mean | Mean | Effect size √ | Mean | Effect size | \checkmark |
| | Higher-Order Learning | 38.1 | 39.2 | 08 🗸 | 41.2 * | 23 | |
| Academic | Reflective and Integrative Learning | 36.4 | 36.6 | 01 🗸 | 38.3 | 15 | |
| Challenge | Learning Strategies | 41.7 | 39.8 | .14 🗸 | 41.9 | 02 | \checkmark |
| | Quantitative Reasoning | 29.8 | 28.8 | .06 🗸 | 30.4 | 04 | \checkmark |
| Learning | Collaborative Learning | 34.6 | 35.2 | 05 🗸 | 37.1 | 19 | |
| with Peers | Discussions with Diverse Others | 41.0 | 41.7 | 05 🗸 | 43.8 | 20 | |
| Experiences | Student-Faculty Interaction | 26.8 | 23.8 | .21 🗸 | 27.2 | 03 | \checkmark |
| with Faculty | Effective Teaching Practices | 39.1 | 40.7 | 13 | 42.6 * | 26 | |
| Campus | Quality of Interactions | 41.2 | 43.8 | 23 | 46.1 *** | 41 | |
| Environment | Supportive Environment | 38.9 | 38.2 | .05 🗸 | 40.0 | 09 | \checkmark |

| Seniors | | | | Your se | eniors | compared with | | |
|--------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|----------|-------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | Fayetteville State | NSSE T | op 50% | | NSSE T | op 10% | |
| Theme | Engagement Indicator | Mean | Mean | Effect size | \checkmark | Mean | Effect size | \checkmark |
| | Higher-Order Learning | 43.7 | 41.8 * | .14 | \checkmark | 43.3 | .03 | \checkmark |
| Academic | Reflective and Integrative Learning | 38.9 | 40.0 | 09 | \checkmark | 42.0 *** | 25 | |
| Challenge | Learning Strategies | 45.4 | 40.7 *** | .33 | \checkmark | 42.9 ** | .18 | \checkmark |
| | Quantitative Reasoning | 30.0 | 31.1 | 07 | \checkmark | 33.0 ** | 19 | |
| Learning | Collaborative Learning | 28.4 | 35.8 *** | 54 | | 37.9 *** | 70 | |
| with Peers | Discussions with Diverse Others | 41.9 | 42.3 | 03 | \checkmark | 44.3 ** | 16 | |
| Experiences | Student-Faculty Interaction | 24.4 | 29.2 *** | 30 | | 33.0 *** | 53 | |
| with Faculty | Effective Teaching Practices | 43.2 | 41.8 | .11 | \checkmark | 43.8 | 04 | \checkmark |
| Campus | Quality of Interactions | 43.9 | 44.8 | 08 | \checkmark | 46.9 *** | 25 | |
| Environment | Supportive Environment | 34.9 | 34.8 | .01 | \checkmark | 37.2 * | 17 | |

Notes: Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institution size for comparison groups); Effect size: Mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation; *p < .05, **p < .01, ***p < .001 (2-tailed).

a. Precision-weighted means (produced by Hierarchical Linear Modeling) were used to determine the top 50% and top 10% institutions for each Engagement Indicator from all NSSE 2016 and 2017 institutions, separately by class. Using this method, Engagement Indicator scores of institutions with relatively large standard errors were adjusted toward the mean of all students, while those with smaller standard errors received smaller corrections. As a result, schools with less stable data—even those with high average scores—may not be among the top scorers. NSSE does not publish the names of the top 50% and top 10% institutions because of our commitment not to release institutional results and our policy against ranking institutions.

b. Check marks are assigned to comparisons that are either significant and positive, or non-significant with an effect size > -.10.



Detailed Statistics^a Fayetteville State University

Detailed Statistics: First-year students

| | Mea | n statist | ics | | Perce | ntile ^d sco | ores | | | mparison | results | |
|---------------------------------|-------|-----------------|------------------|-----|-------|------------------------|------|------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| | Mean | SD ^b | SEM ^c | 5th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th | Deg. of freedom ^e | Mean diff. | Sig. ^f | Effect size ^g |
| Academic Challenge | Wicun | 00 | 02.00 | 500 | 2501 | 5011 | 7501 | 5500 | jiecuom | ۵,,,, | o.g. | |
| Higher-Order Learning | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State $(N = 85)$ | 38.1 | 14.9 | 1.62 | 20 | 25 | 40 | 50 | 60 | | | | |
| Southeast Public | 37.8 | 13.5 | .07 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 60 | 37,508 | .3 | .821 | .025 |
| Carnegie Class | 37.5 | 13.1 | .12 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 60 | 84 | .6 | .723 | .044 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 37.9 | 13.3 | .03 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 45 | 60 | 179,314 | .2 | .911 | .012 |
| Top 50% | 39.2 | 13.1 | .04 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 84 | -1.1 | .514 | 081 |
| Top 10% | 41.2 | 13.3 | .10 | 20 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 18,956 | -3.1 | .035 | 230 |
| Reflective & Integrative Learni | ng | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State $(N = 90)$ | 36.4 | 11.1 | 1.17 | 20 | 26 | 37 | 43 | 57 | | | | |
| Southeast Public | 34.6 | 12.2 | .06 | 17 | 26 | 34 | 43 | 57 | 39,296 | 1.9 | .148 | .153 |
| Carnegie Class | 35.1 | 11.9 | .10 | 17 | 26 | 34 | 43 | 57 | 13,095 | 1.3 | .293 | .111 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 35.0 | 12.0 | .03 | 17 | 26 | 34 | 43 | 57 | 186,755 | 1.4 | .275 | .115 |
| Top 50% | 36.6 | 12.0 | .04 | 17 | 29 | 37 | 46 | 57 | 95,002 | 1 | .907 | 012 |
| Top 10% | 38.3 | 12.3 | .09 | 20 | 29 | 37 | 46 | 60 | 20,705 | -1.8 | .158 | 149 |
| Learning Strategies | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State $(N = 74)$ | 41.7 | 14.0 | 1.62 | 20 | 33 | 40 | 53 | 60 | | | | |
| Southeast Public | 38.7 | 13.8 | .08 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 47 | 60 | 32,779 | 3.0 | .063 | .216 |
| Carnegie Class | 38.3 | 13.5 | .13 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 47 | 60 | 11,276 | 3.4 | .029 | .255 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 38.3 | 13.7 | .03 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 47 | 60 | 159,670 | 3.4 | .031 | .250 |
| Top 50% | 39.8 | 13.7 | .05 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 53 | 60 | 78,912 | 1.9 | .238 | .137 |
| Top 10% | 41.9 | 14.1 | .10 | 20 | 33 | 40 | 53 | 60 | 19,999 | 2 | .890 | 016 |
| Quantitative Reasoning | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State $(N = 80)$ | 29.8 | 15.7 | 1.76 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 | | | | |
| Southeast Public | 27.9 | 15.6 | .08 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 | 37,247 | 1.9 | .277 | .122 |
| Carnegie Class | 27.1 | 15.2 | .14 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 | 12,519 | 2.7 | .120 | .175 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 27.6 | 15.4 | .04 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 | 178,644 | 2.2 | .204 | .142 |
| Top 50% | 28.8 | 15.2 | .05 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 | 110,148 | .9 | .581 | .062 |
| Top 10% | 30.4 | 15.2 | .09 | 7 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 | 26,887 | 6 | .708 | 042 |
| Learning with Peers | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Collaborative Learning | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State $(N = 91)$ | 34.6 | 13.9 | 1.45 | 15 | 25 | 30 | 45 | 60 | | | | |
| Southeast Public | 33.1 | 14.1 | .07 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 40 | 60 | 41,108 | 1.5 | .310 | .107 |
| Carnegie Class | 33.1 | 13.7 | .12 | 10 | 25 | 35 | 40 | 60 | 13,544 | 1.5 | .311 | .107 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 32.2 | 14.5 | .03 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 193,598 | 2.4 | .114 | .166 |
| Top 50% | 35.2 | 13.6 | .04 | 15 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 110,526 | 6 | .661 | 046 |
| Top 10% | 37.1 | 13.4 | .08 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 45 | 60 | 27,160 | -2.5 | .074 | 188 |
| Discussions with Diverse Other | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State $(N = 74)$ | 41.0 | 15.5 | 1.80 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | | | | |
| Southeast Public | 40.8 | 15.6 | .09 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 55 | 60 | 33,129 | .2 | .920 | .012 |
| Carnegie Class | 39.1 | 15.1 | .14 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 11,379 | 1.8 | .302 | .120 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 39.7 | 15.5 | .04 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 55 | 60 | 161,126 | 1.3 | .472 | .084 |
| Top 50% | 41.7 | 14.9 | .05 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 55 | 60 | 100,839 | 8 | .656 | 052 |
| Top 10% | 43.8 | 14.5 | .09 | 20 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 60 | 23,792 | -2.8 | .093 | 196 |



Detailed Statistics^a Fayetteville State University

Detailed Statistics: First-year students

| | Mea | n statist | ics | | Perce | ntile ^d sco | ores | | Co | Comparison results | | |
|-------------------------------|------|-----------------|------------------|-----|-------|------------------------|------|------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-------|
| | | | | | | | | | Deg. of | Mean | 4 | Effec |
| | Mean | SD ^b | SEM ^c | 5th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th | freedom ^e | diff. | Sig. ^f | size |
| xperiences with Faculty | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Student-Faculty Interaction | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State $(N = 86)$ | 26.8 | 15.2 | 1.64 | 5 | 15 | 20 | 40 | 60 | | | | |
| Southeast Public | 21.0 | 14.8 | .08 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 38,191 | 5.8 | .000 | .394 |
| Carnegie Class | 22.3 | 14.6 | .13 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 12,739 | 4.5 | .004 | .310 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 20.6 | 14.5 | .03 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 50 | 182,144 | 6.2 | .000 | .43 |
| Top 50% | 23.8 | 14.7 | .06 | 0 | 15 | 20 | 35 | 55 | 63,870 | 3.0 | .056 | .200 |
| Top 10% | 27.2 | 15.6 | .15 | 5 | 15 | 25 | 40 | 60 | 10,332 | 4 | .810 | 020 |
| Effective Teaching Practices | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State $(N = 81)$ | 39.1 | 15.7 | 1.74 | 12 | 32 | 40 | 52 | 60 | | | | |
| Southeast Public | 38.3 | 13.3 | .07 | 16 | 28 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 81 | .8 | .659 | .05 |
| Carnegie Class | 38.8 | 13.0 | .12 | 16 | 32 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 81 | .3 | .857 | .024 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 38.7 | 13.1 | .03 | 16 | 28 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 80 | .4 | .830 | .02 |
| Top 50% | 40.7 | 13.0 | .05 | 20 | 32 | 40 | 52 | 60 | 81 | -1.6 | .352 | 12 |
| Top 10% | 42.6 | 13.6 | .11 | 20 | 36 | 44 | 56 | 60 | 16,412 | -3.5 | .019 | 26 |
| ampus Environment | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quality of Interactions | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State $(N = 69)$ | 41.2 | 13.4 | 1.61 | 16 | 32 | 43 | 52 | 60 | | | | |
| Southeast Public | 41.3 | 12.6 | .07 | 18 | 34 | 42 | 50 | 60 | 31,213 | 1 | .952 | 00 |
| Carnegie Class | 42.1 | 12.1 | .12 | 20 | 34 | 44 | 50 | 60 | 10,754 | 9 | .533 | 07 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 41.7 | 12.4 | .03 | 18 | 34 | 43 | 50 | 60 | 151,223 | 5 | .716 | 04 |
| Top 50% | 43.8 | 11.5 | .04 | 22 | 38 | 46 | 52 | 60 | 68 | -2.6 | .108 | 22 |
| Top 10% | 46.1 | 11.7 | .11 | 24 | 40 | 48 | 56 | 60 | 11,369 | -4.9 | .001 | 41 |
| Supportive Environment | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State $(N = 66)$ | 38.9 | 15.1 | 1.86 | 15 | 28 | 40 | 55 | 60 | | | | |
| Southeast Public | 37.1 | 13.6 | .08 | 15 | 28 | 38 | 48 | 60 | 30,295 | 1.7 | .298 | .12 |
| Carnegie Class | 36.4 | 13.3 | .13 | 15 | 28 | 38 | 45 | 60 | 66 | 2.5 | .188 | .18 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 36.3 | 13.6 | .04 | 15 | 28 | 38 | 45 | 60 | 148,859 | 2.5 | .131 | .18 |
| Top 50% | 38.2 | 13.1 | .05 | 18 | 30 | 40 | 48 | 60 | 65 | .6 | .736 | .04 |
| Top 10% | 40.0 | 13.0 | .09 | 18 | 31 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 66 | -1.1 | .550 | 08 |

a. Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).

b. Standard deviation is a measure of the amount the individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.

c. Standard error of the mean, used to compute a confidence interval (CI) around the sample mean. For example, the 95% CI (equal to the sample mean +/- 1.96 x SEM)

is the range that is 95% likely to contain the true population mean.

d. A percentile is the point in the distribution of student-level EI scores at or below which a given percentage of EI scores fall.

e. Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values vary from the total Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.

f. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between the mean of your institution and that of the comparison group occurred by chance.

g. Effect size is the mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.



Detailed Statistics^a Fayetteville State University

Detailed Statistics: Seniors

| Mean 50 ^h 52h 50h 75h 95h freedom ² diff. 51p. ² sect Academic Challenge Higher-Order Learning Southeast Public 39.9 13.9 0.6 15 30 40 50 60 57.242 3.8 .000 .27: Camegic Class 40.4 13.6 .00 20 30 40 50 60 18:007 3.3 .000 .26: Top.50% 41.8 13.5 .04 20 35 40 55 60 112.825 1.9 .015 .13: Top.50% 41.8 13.5 .04 20 35 40 55 60 112.825 1.9 .015 .13: Top.50% 41.8 .07 .05 17 29 37 46 60 59.261 1.4 .052 .110 Camegic Class 38.6 12.7 .05 17 29 37 46 60 18.6,514 .3 | | Mea | in statist | ics | | Perce | ntile ^d sco | ores | | Со | mparison | results | |
|---|----------------------------------|------|------------|-------------------|-----|-------|------------------------|------|------|---------|----------|---------|--------|
| Academic Challenge Init Init <thinit< th=""> Init Init<th>-</th><th></th><th>c b b</th><th>6514⁶</th><th></th><th></th><th>50.1</th><th></th><th></th><th>• •</th><th></th><th>cia f</th><th>Effect</th></thinit<> | - | | c b b | 6514 ⁶ | | | 50.1 | | | • • | | cia f | Effect |
| Higher-Order Learning Papetteville State (N = 307) 43.7 13.5 .7.7 20 35 40 60 60 Southeas Public 39.9 13.9 .06 15 30 40 50 60 157,242 3.8 .000 27 Class Public 40.4 13.6 .10 20 30 40 50 60 18,235 1.9 .010 2.1 Top 50% 41.8 13.5 .04 20 25 60 13.3,254 .4 .56 0.015 .133 Top 50% 41.8 13.5 .04 20 25 60 13.3,254 .4 .56 0.01 Camegic Class 11.8 13.5 .04 20 23 .40 49 60 18.541 .3 .68 0.20 .01 43.5 1.1 .22 .01 23 .04 .01 .11 .22 .01 | Academic Challenge | Mean | SD | SEIVI | 5th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th | Jreedom | aıjj. | 51g.* | size |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | 13 7 | 13.5 | 77 | 20 | 35 | 40 | 60 | 60 | | | | |
| Carnegie Class 40.4 13.6 .10 20 30 40 50 60 18.007 3.3 .000 .24 NSBE 2016 & 2017 40.0 13.7 .03 20 30 40 50 60 12.825 10 0.5 13.3 Top 10% 43.3 13.4 .07 20 25 40 55 60 33.354 .4 .561 0.33 Reflective & Integrative Learning .73 20 29 40 49 60 152.61 1.4 .052 .111 Carnegic Class 38.6 12.5 .09 20 29 40 49 60 18.41 .3 .682 .020 .077 Top 50% 40.0 12.2 .08 20 31 40 49 60 116.267 .11 .12.3 .08 Top 50% 40.0 12.2 .08 20 34 43 51 60 51.371 <td< td=""><td>•</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>57 242</td><td>38</td><td>000</td><td>27</td></td<> | • | | | | | | | | | 57 242 | 38 | 000 | 27 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 40.0 13.7 0.03 20 30 40 50 60 284.399 3.7 9000 2.62 Top 10% 41.8 13.5 .04 20 35 40 55 60 132.825 1.9 .015 .13.3 Top 10% 41.3 1.4 .07 20 28 40 55 60 33.34 .4 .56 .03 Reflective & Integrative Learning | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | _ | | | | | | | | | , | | | |
| | Pofloctivo & Integrativo Learnin | ~ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Southeast Public 37.5 12.7 0.5 17 29 37 46 60 59.261 1.4 0.52 1.10 Canogic Class 38.6 12.5 0.9 20 29 37 46 60 293.755 .9 200 .020 .020 .020 .031 40 49 60 116.267 -1.1 .123 .040 .02 .031 40 49 60 116.267 -1.1 .123 .068 Top 10% 42.0 12.2 .08 20 34 43 51 60 24.506 -3.1 .000 -256 Learning Strategies | _ | - | 12.9 | 73 | 20 | 29 | 40 | /0 | 60 | | | | |
| Camegie Class 38.6 12.5 0.9 20 29 40 49 60 18.541 .3 .682 .020 NSSE 2016 & 2017 38.0 12.6 0.2 17 29 37 46 60 293,765 .9 .200 .07 Top 50% 40.0 12.3 .04 20 34 43 51 60 24.506 -3.1 .000 .255 Learning Strategies Southeast Public 39.5 14.6 .06 13 27 40 53 60 16.555 6.4 .000 .402 Carnegie Class 39.0 14.4 .04 20 33 40 63 60 156.521 4.7 .000 .322 Top 10% 42.9 14.3 .07 20 33 40 60 136.521 4.7 .000 .322 Top 50% 40.7 14.4 .04 20 33 40 60 177.96 | - | | | | | | | | | 50 261 | 1.4 | 052 | 11(|
| NSSE 2016 2017 38.0 12.6 0.02 17 29 37 46 60 293,765 9 .200 .077 Top 50% 40.0 12.3 .04 20 31 40 49 60 116.267 -1.1 .123 .08 Top 10% 42.0 12.2 .08 20 34 43 51 60 24.506 -3.1 .000 -250 Learning Strategies Experteville State (N = 278) 45.4 13.6 .81 20 40 47 60 60 51.371 5.9 .000 .400 Carnegie Class 39.0 14.4 .11 13 27 40 53 60 156.321 .47 .000 .32 Top 50% 40.7 14.4 .04 20 33 40 60 66 39,687 2.5 .003 .173 Carnegie Class 29.4 16.4 .07 0 20 27 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Top 50% 40.0 12.3 0.4 20 31 40 49 60 116.267 -1.1 1.23 .08 Top 10% 42.0 12.2 0.8 20 34 43 51 60 24.506 -3.1 .000 -256 Learning Strategies Fayetteville State (N = 278) 45.4 13.6 .81 20 40 47 60 60 Southeast Public 39.5 14.6 .06 13 27 40 53 60 16.555 6.4 .000 .400 Camegie Class 39.0 14.4 .01 13 27 40 53 60 156.521 .4.7 .000 .32 Top 10% 42.9 14.3 .07 20 33 40 60 136.521 .4.7 .000 .32 Top 10% 42.9 14.3 .07 20 27 40 60 136.521 .4.7 .000 .524 .037 </td <td>_</td> <td></td> | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Strategies Fayetteville State (N = 278) 45.4 13.6 .81 20 40 47 60 60 Southeast Public 39.5 14.6 .06 13 27 40 53 60 51,371 5.9 .000 .400 Camegie Class 39.0 14.4 .11 13 27 40 53 60 156,555 6.4 .000 .442 NSSE 2016 & 2017 38.7 14.5 .03 13 27 40 53 60 136,525 6.7 .000 .462 Top 50% 40.7 14.4 .04 20 33 40 60 60 39,687 2.5 .003 .171 Cuantitative Reasoning Fayetteville State (N = 305) 30.0 16.0 .92 0 20 27 40 60 17.953 .6 .512 .031 Cuantitative Reasoning | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State (N = 278)45.413.6.812040476060Southeast Public39.514.6.06132740536051,3715.9.000.403Carnegie Class39.014.4.11132740536016,5556.4.000.403NSSE 2016 & 201738.714.5.031327405360136,3214.7.000.323Top 50%40.714.4.04203340606039,6872.5.003.173Quantitative ReasoningFayetteville State (N = 305)30.016.0.9202027406057,036 3 .755018Carnegie Class29.416.4.1202027406017,953.6.524.033NSSE 2016 & 201729.916.3.03020274060171,901-1.2.213.071Top 50%31.116.2.04020334060171,901-1.2.213.071Top 50%33.116.2.0402033406038,262-3.0.001181Collaborative LearningFayetteville State (N = 315)28.4 <td< td=""><td></td><td>42.0</td><td>12.2</td><td>.00</td><td>20</td><td>54</td><td>45</td><td>51</td><td>00</td><td>24,500</td><td>-5.1</td><td>.000</td><td>250</td></td<> | | 42.0 | 12.2 | .00 | 20 | 54 | 45 | 51 | 00 | 24,500 | -5.1 | .000 | 250 |
| Southeast Public 39.5 14.6 0.6 13 27 40 53 60 51,371 5.9 0.00 4.40 Carnegie Class 39.0 14.4 1.1 13 27 40 53 60 16,555 6.4 0.00 443 NSSE 2016 & 2017 38.7 14.5 0.03 13 27 40 53 60 136,321 4.7 0.00 .42 Top 50% 40.7 14.4 .04 20 33 40 60 60 39,687 2.5 .003 1.7 Quantitative Reasoning | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carnegic Class 39.0 14.4 .11 13 27 40 53 60 16,555 6.4 .000 .444 NSSE 2016 & 2017 38.7 14.5 .03 13 27 40 53 60 258,825 6.7 .000 .46 Top 50% 40.7 14.4 .04 20 33 40 63 60 136,321 4.7 .000 .32; Top 10% 42.9 14.3 .07 20 23 40 60 60 39,687 2.5 .003 .17 Quantitative Reasoning E E E E E E E Southeast Public 30.3 16.4 .07 20 27 40 60 57,036 3 .755 .011 Carnegic Class 29.4 16.4 .12 0 20 27 40 60 283,768 .1 .891 .000 .01 .18 .001 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 38.7 14.5 0.3 13 27 40 53 60 258.825 6.7 0.00 .46 Top 50% 40.7 14.4 .04 20 33 40 53 60 136.321 4.7 .000 .32: Top 10% 42.9 14.3 .07 20 33 40 60 60 39.687 2.5 .003 .17: Quantitative Reasoning Eaventeville State (N = 305) 30.0 16.0 .92 0 20 27 40 60 57.036 3 .755 .011 Carnegie Class 29.4 16.4 .07 0 20 27 40 60 17.953 .6 .524 .030 NSSE 2016 & 2017 29.9 16.3 .03 0 20 .33 40 60 171.901 -1.2 .213 .07 Top 10% 33.0 15.9 .08 7 20 .33 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Top 50% 40.7 14.4 .04 20 33 40 53 60 136,321 4.7 .000 .32 Top 10% 42.9 14.3 .07 20 33 40 60 60 39,687 2.5 .003 .17 Quantitative Reasoning Fayetteville State (N = 305) 30.0 16.0 .92 0 20 27 40 60 57,036 3 .755 011 Carnegie Class 29.4 16.4 .12 0 20 27 40 60 17,953 .6 .524 .03 NSSE 2016 & 2017 29.9 16.3 .03 0 20 233 40 60 171,901 -1.2 .213 .007 Top 50% 31.1 16.2 .04 0 20 33 40 60 38,262 -3.0 .001 18 Learning with Peers Collaborative Learning Fayetteville State (N = 315) 28.4 15.7 | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | .449 |
| Top 10% 42.9 14.3 .07 20 33 40 60 60 39,687 2.5 .003 .174 Quantitative Reasoning Fayetteville State (N = 305) 30.0 16.0 .92 0 20 27 40 60 Southeast Public 30.3 16.4 .07 0 20 27 40 60 57,036 3 .755 014 Carnegie Class 29.4 16.4 .12 0 20 27 40 60 17,953 .6 .524 .033 NSSE 2016 & 2017 29.9 16.3 .03 0 20 23 40 60 17,1901 -1.2 .213 .07 Top 10% 33.0 15.9 .08 7 20 33 40 60 17,1901 -1.2 .213 .07 Learning with Peers Collaborative Learning E 2 33 44.8 .06 10 25 35 45 | | 38.7 | 14.5 | .03 | 13 | 27 | 40 | 53 | 60 | 258,825 | 6.7 | | .46 |
| Quantitative Reasoning Fayetteville State (N = 305) 30.0 16.0 .92 0 20 27 40 60 Southeast Public 30.3 16.4 .07 0 20 27 40 60 57,036 3 .755 011 Carnegie Class 29.4 16.4 .12 0 20 27 40 60 17,953 .6 .524 .033 NSSE 2016 & 2017 29.9 16.3 .03 0 20 27 40 60 171,901 -1.2 .213 .077 Top 50% 31.1 16.2 .04 0 20 33 40 60 171,901 -1.2 .213 .077 Top 10% 33.0 15.9 .08 7 20 33 40 60 38,262 -3.0 .001 182 Learning with Peers Collaborative Learning Earning Sast 14.8 .06 10 25 35 45 60 | Top 50% | 40.7 | 14.4 | .04 | 20 | 33 | 40 | 53 | 60 | 136,321 | 4.7 | .000 | .32 |
| Fayetteville State (N = 305) 30.0 16.0 .92 0 20 27 40 60 Southeast Public 30.3 16.4 .07 0 20 27 40 60 57,036 3 .755 013 Carnegie Class 29.4 16.4 .12 0 20 27 40 60 17,953 .6 .524 .033 NSSE 2016 & 2017 29.9 16.3 .03 0 20 23 40 60 17,953 .6 .524 .033 Top 50% 31.1 16.2 .04 0 20 33 40 60 171,901 -1.2 .213 .077 Top 10% 33.0 15.9 .08 7 20 33 40 60 38,262 -3.0 .001 183 Learning with Peers Collaborative Learning Fayetteville State (N = 315) 28.4 15.7 .88 5 15 30 40 60 300,263 -3.9 .000 363 Carnegic Class 33.1 14. | Top 10% | 42.9 | 14.3 | .07 | 20 | 33 | 40 | 60 | 60 | 39,687 | 2.5 | .003 | .178 |
| Southeast Public 30.3 16.4 .07 0 20 27 40 60 57,036 3 .755 018 Carnegie Class 29.4 16.4 .12 0 20 27 40 60 17,953 .6 .524 .033 NSSE 2016 & 2017 29.9 16.3 .03 0 20 27 40 60 283,768 .1 .891 .000 Top 50% 31.1 16.2 .04 0 20 33 40 60 171,901 -1.2 .213 -07. Top 10% 33.0 15.9 .08 7 20 33 40 60 38,262 -3.0 .001 183 Learning with Peers Collaborative Learning Earnegie Class 33.1 14.5 .11 10 20 35 45 60 60,919 -5.4 .000 -3.63 Carnegie Class 33.1 14.5 .11 10 20 | Quantitative Reasoning | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carnegie Class 29.4 16.4 .12 0 20 27 40 60 17.953 .6 .524 .033 NSSE 2016 & 2017 29.9 16.3 .03 0 20 27 40 60 283,768 .1 .891 .000 Top 50% 31.1 16.2 .04 0 20 33 40 60 171,901 -1.2 .213 07 Top 10% 33.0 15.9 .08 7 20 33 40 60 38,262 -3.0 .001 183 Learning with Peers Collaborative Learning State (N = 315) 28.4 15.7 .88 5 15 30 40 60 Southeast Public 33.8 14.8 .06 10 25 35 45 60 302,63 -3.9 .000 -3.62 Camegie Class 33.1 14.5 .11 10 20 35 45 60 302,63 -3.9 .000 -3.62 Susse 2016 & 2017 32.3 15.1 .03 </td <td>Fayetteville State ($N = 305$)</td> <td>30.0</td> <td>16.0</td> <td>.92</td> <td>0</td> <td>20</td> <td>27</td> <td>40</td> <td>60</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> | Fayetteville State ($N = 305$) | 30.0 | 16.0 | .92 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 | | | | |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 29.9 16.3 .03 0 20 27 40 60 283,768 .1 .891 .000 Top 50% 31.1 16.2 .04 0 20 33 40 60 171,901 -1.2 .213 -07 Top 10% 33.0 15.9 .08 7 20 33 40 60 38,262 -3.0 .001 188 Learning with Peers Collaborative Learning Fayetteville State (N = 315) 28.4 15.7 .88 5 15 30 40 60 323 -4.7 .000 36: Camegie Class 33.1 14.5 .11 10 20 35 45 60 300,263 -3.9 .000 36: Camegie Class 33.1 14.5 .11 10 20 35 45 60 302,663 -3.9 .000 36: Subfeast Public 32.3 15.1 .03 5 20 30 40 60 300,263 -3.9 .000 53' T | Southeast Public | 30.3 | 16.4 | .07 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 | 57,036 | 3 | .755 | 018 |
| Top 50% 31.1 16.2 .04 0 20 33 40 60 171,901 -1.2 .213 -071 Top 10% 33.0 15.9 .08 7 20 33 40 60 38,262 -3.0 .001 188 Learning with Peers Collaborative Learning Fayetteville State (N = 315) 28.4 15.7 .88 5 15 30 40 60 Southeast Public 33.8 14.8 .06 10 25 35 45 60 60,919 -5.4 .000 363 Carnegie Class 33.1 14.5 .11 10 20 35 45 60 300,263 -3.9 .000 323 NSSE 2016 & 2017 32.3 15.1 .03 5 20 30 40 60 3115 -7.4 .000 533 Top 10% 37.9 13.4 .07 15 30 40 50 60 318 <td>Carnegie Class</td> <td>29.4</td> <td>16.4</td> <td>.12</td> <td>0</td> <td>20</td> <td>27</td> <td>40</td> <td>60</td> <td>17,953</td> <td>.6</td> <td>.524</td> <td>.037</td> | Carnegie Class | 29.4 | 16.4 | .12 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 | 17,953 | .6 | .524 | .037 |
| Top 10% 33.0 15.9 .08 7 20 33 40 60 38,262 -3.0 .001 -188 Learning with Peers Collaborative Learning Fayetteville State (N = 315) 28.4 15.7 .88 5 15 30 40 60 38,262 -3.0 .001 -188 Southeast Public 33.8 14.8 .06 10 25 35 45 60 60,919 -5.4 .000 362 Carnegie Class 33.1 14.5 .11 10 20 35 45 60 300,263 -3.9 .000 252 NSSE 2016 & 2017 32.3 15.1 .03 5 20 30 40 60 300,263 -3.9 .000 253 Top 50% 35.8 13.8 .03 15 25 .35 45 60 318 -9.5 .000 705 Discussions with Diverse Others Example .07 | NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 29.9 | 16.3 | .03 | 0 | 20 | 27 | 40 | 60 | 283,768 | .1 | .891 | .008 |
| Learning with Peers Collaborative Learning Fayetteville State (N = 315) 28.4 15.7 .88 5 15 30 40 60 Southeast Public 33.8 14.8 .06 10 25 35 45 60 60,919 -5.4 .000 362 Carnegie Class 33.1 14.5 .11 10 20 35 45 60 302,63 -3.9 .000 252 Top 50% 35.8 13.8 .03 15 25 35 45 60 315 -7.4 .000 537 Top 50% 35.8 13.8 .03 15 25 35 45 60 315 -7.4 .000 537 Top 10% 37.9 13.4 .07 15 30 40 50 60 318 -9.5 .000 705 Discussions with Diverse Others | Top 50% | 31.1 | 16.2 | .04 | 0 | 20 | 33 | 40 | 60 | 171,901 | -1.2 | .213 | 071 |
| Collaborative Learning Fayetteville State (N = 315) 28.4 15.7 .88 5 15 30 40 60 Southeast Public 33.8 14.8 .06 10 25 35 45 60 60,919 -5.4 .000 363 Carnegie Class 33.1 14.5 .11 10 20 35 45 60 323 -4.7 .000 323 NSSE 2016 & 2017 32.3 15.1 .03 5 20 30 40 60 300,263 -3.9 .000 323 Top 50% 35.8 13.8 .03 15 25 35 45 60 315 -7.4 .000 537 Top 50% 37.9 13.4 .07 15 30 40 50 60 318 -9.5 .000 705 Discussions with Diverse Others Fayetteville State (N = 278) 41.9 16.5 .99 10 30 40 60 60 51,678 1 .890 008 | Top 10% | 33.0 | 15.9 | .08 | 7 | 20 | 33 | 40 | 60 | 38,262 | -3.0 | .001 | 188 |
| Fayetteville State (N = 315) 28.4 15.7 .88 5 15 30 40 60 Southeast Public 33.8 14.8 .06 10 25 35 45 60 60,919 -5.4 .000 363 Carnegie Class 33.1 14.5 .11 10 20 35 45 60 323 -4.7 .000 323 NSSE 2016 & 2017 32.3 15.1 .03 5 20 30 40 60 300,263 -3.9 .000 253 Top 50% 35.8 13.8 .03 15 25 35 45 60 315 -7.4 .000 537 Top 50% 37.9 13.4 .07 15 30 40 50 60 318 -9.5 .000 705 Discussions with Diverse Others | Learning with Peers | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State (N = 315) 28.4 15.7 .88 5 15 30 40 60 Southeast Public 33.8 14.8 .06 10 25 35 45 60 60,919 -5.4 .000 363 Carnegie Class 33.1 14.5 .11 10 20 35 45 60 323 -4.7 .000 323 NSSE 2016 & 2017 32.3 15.1 .03 5 20 30 40 60 300,263 -3.9 .000 253 Top 50% 35.8 13.8 .03 15 25 35 45 60 315 -7.4 .000 537 Top 50% 37.9 13.4 .07 15 30 40 50 60 318 -9.5 .000 705 Discussions with Diverse Others | Collaborative Learning | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Southeast Public 33.8 14.8 .06 10 25 35 45 60 60,919 -5.4 .000 363 Carnegie Class 33.1 14.5 .11 10 20 35 45 60 323 -4.7 .000 323 NSSE 2016 & 2017 32.3 15.1 .03 5 20 30 40 60 300,263 -3.9 .000 255 Top 50% 35.8 13.8 .03 15 25 35 45 60 315 -7.4 .000 537 Top 50% 37.9 13.4 .07 15 30 40 50 60 318 -9.5 .000 705 Discussions with Diverse Others Image: Class 41.9 16.5 .99 10 30 40 60 60 51,678 1 .890 008 Carnegie Class 39.8 15.5 .12 15 30 40 55 60 285 2.0 .041 .132 NSSE 2016 & 2017 | _ | 28.4 | 15.7 | .88 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 40 | 60 | | | | |
| Carnegie Class 33.1 14.5 .11 10 20 35 45 60 323 -4.7 .000 323 NSSE 2016 & 2017 32.3 15.1 .03 5 20 30 40 60 300,263 -3.9 .000 323 Top 50% 35.8 13.8 .03 15 25 35 45 60 315 -7.4 .000 533 Top 10% 37.9 13.4 .07 15 30 40 50 60 318 -9.5 .000 705 Discussions with Diverse Others Image: Class 41.9 16.5 .99 10 30 40 60 60 50 60 51.678 1 .890 008 Southeast Public 42.0 15.8 .07 15 30 40 60 60 51.678 1 .890 008 Southeast Public 42.0 15.8 .07 15 30 40 55 60 285 2.0 .041 .133 | Southeast Public | 33.8 | 14.8 | .06 | 10 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 60,919 | -5.4 | .000 | 363 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 32.3 15.1 .03 5 20 30 40 60 300,263 -3.9 .000 255 Top 50% 35.8 13.8 .03 15 25 35 45 60 315 -7.4 .000 253 Top 10% 37.9 13.4 .07 15 30 40 50 60 318 -9.5 .000 705 Discussions with Diverse Others Top 10% 41.9 16.5 .99 10 30 40 60 60 51,678 1 .890 000 Southeast Public 42.0 15.8 .07 15 30 40 60 60 51,678 1 .890 008 Carnegie Class 39.8 15.5 .12 15 30 40 55 60 285 2.0 .041 .132 NSSE 2016 & 2017 40.5 15.9 .03 15 30 40 55 60 260,438 1.4 .153 .086 Top 50% 42.3 <td>Carnegie Class</td> <td></td> <td>14.5</td> <td>.11</td> <td>10</td> <td>20</td> <td>35</td> <td>45</td> <td>60</td> <td>323</td> <td>-4.7</td> <td>.000</td> <td>323</td> | Carnegie Class | | 14.5 | .11 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 323 | -4.7 | .000 | 323 |
| Top 50% 35.8 13.8 .03 15 25 35 45 60 315 -7.4 .000 537 Top 10% 37.9 13.4 .07 15 30 40 50 60 315 -7.4 .000 537 Discussions with Diverse Others Top 10% 41.9 16.5 .99 10 30 40 60 60 60 51,678 1 .890 000 Southeast Public 42.0 15.8 .07 15 30 40 60 60 51,678 1 .890 000 Carnegie Class 39.8 15.5 .12 15 30 40 55 60 285 2.0 .041 .132 NSSE 2016 & 2017 40.5 15.9 .03 15 30 40 55 60 260,438 1.4 .153 .086 Top 50% 42.3 15.6 .04 15 30 40 60 60 173,296 5 .628 029 | - | 32.3 | 15.1 | .03 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 40 | | | -3.9 | | |
| Top 10% 37.9 13.4 .07 15 30 40 50 60 318 -9.5 .000 709 Discussions with Diverse Others Fayetteville State (N = 278) 41.9 16.5 .99 10 30 40 60 60 60 Southeast Public 42.0 15.8 .07 15 30 40 60 60 51,678 1 .890 000 Carnegie Class 39.8 15.5 .12 15 30 40 55 60 285 2.0 .041 .132 NSSE 2016 & 2017 40.5 15.9 .03 15 30 40 60 60 14 .153 .080 Top 50% 42.3 15.6 .04 15 30 40 60 60 173,296 5 .628 029 | | 35.8 | 13.8 | .03 | 15 | 25 | | 45 | 60 | | -7.4 | .000 | |
| Fayetteville State (N = 278) 41.9 16.5 .99 10 30 40 60 60 Southeast Public 42.0 15.8 .07 15 30 40 60 60 51,678 1 .890 008 Carnegie Class 39.8 15.5 .12 15 30 40 55 60 285 2.0 .041 .132 NSSE 2016 & 2017 40.5 15.9 .03 15 30 40 55 60 260,438 1.4 .153 .086 Top 50% 42.3 15.6 .04 15 30 40 60 60 173,296 5 .628 029 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State (N = 278) 41.9 16.5 .99 10 30 40 60 60 60 Southeast Public 42.0 15.8 .07 15 30 40 60 60 51,678 1 .890 008 Carnegie Class 39.8 15.5 .12 15 30 40 55 60 285 2.0 .041 .132 NSSE 2016 & 2017 40.5 15.9 .03 15 30 40 55 60 260,438 1.4 .153 .086 Top 50% 42.3 15.6 .04 15 30 40 60 60 173,296 5 .628 029 | Discussions with Diverse Others | ; | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Southeast Public42.015.8.07153040606051,6781.890008Carnegie Class39.815.5.1215304055602852.0.041.132NSSE 2016 & 201740.515.9.031530405560260,4381.4.153.086Top 50%42.315.6.041530406060173,2965.628029 | | | 16.5 | .99 | 10 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 60 | | | | |
| Carnegie Class 39.8 15.5 .12 15 30 40 55 60 285 2.0 .041 .132 NSSE 2016 & 2017 40.5 15.9 .03 15 30 40 55 60 260,438 1.4 .153 .086 Top 50% 42.3 15.6 .04 15 30 40 60 60 173,296 5 .628 029 | - | | | | | | | | | 51 678 | - 1 | 890 | - 009 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 40.5 15.9 .03 15 30 40 55 60 260,438 1.4 .153 .086 Top 50% 42.3 15.6 .04 15 30 40 60 60 173,296 5 .628 029 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Top 50% 42.3 15.6 .04 15 30 40 60 60 173,296 5 .628 029 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| * | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 10p 1070 +++.3 13.3 .06 20 33 43 00 00 37,700 -2.4 .00913 | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 15.5 | .00 | 20 | | | 00 | 00 | 57,700 | -2.4 | .009 | 13 |



Detailed Statistics^a Fayetteville State University

Detailed Statistics: Seniors

| | Mean statistics | | | Percentile ^d scores | | | | | Comparison results | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|--------------------------------|------|------|------|------|---------------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | SD ^b | SEM ^c | 5th | 25th | 50th | 75th | 95th | Deg. of freedom ^e | Mean diff. | Sig. ^f | Effect size ^g |
| | Mean | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Experiences with Faculty | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Student-Faculty Interaction | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State $(N = 305)$ | 24.4 | 17.2 | .98 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 40 | 60 | | | | |
| Southeast Public | 24.4 | 16.1 | .07 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 60 | 307 | .0 | .973 | .00 |
| Carnegie Class | 26.2 | 15.9 | .12 | 0 | 15 | 25 | 35 | 60 | 313 | -1.7 | .082 | 10 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 23.6 | 15.9 | .03 | 0 | 10 | 20 | 35 | 55 | 305 | .9 | .382 | .05 |
| Top 50% | 29.2 | 15.7 | .06 | 5 | 20 | 30 | 40 | 60 | 307 | -4.8 | .000 | 30 |
| Top 10% | 33.0 | 16.0 | .16 | 10 | 20 | 30 | 45 | 60 | 10,957 | -8.6 | .000 | 53 |
| Effective Teaching Practices | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State ($N = 309$) | 43.2 | 14.5 | .82 | 20 | 32 | 40 | 60 | 60 | | | | |
| Southeast Public | 39.8 | 13.8 | .06 | 16 | 32 | 40 | 52 | 60 | 311 | 3.5 | .000 | .25 |
| Carnegie Class | 40.1 | 13.7 | .10 | 16 | 32 | 40 | 52 | 60 | 318 | 3.2 | .000 | .23 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 39.6 | 13.7 | .03 | 16 | 32 | 40 | 52 | 60 | 309 | 3.6 | .000 | .26 |
| Top 50% | 41.8 | 13.5 | .04 | 20 | 32 | 40 | 52 | 60 | 310 | 1.4 | .080 | .10 |
| Top 10% | 43.8 | 13.4 | .10 | 20 | 36 | 44 | 56 | 60 | 317 | 6 | .470 | 04 |
| Campus Environment | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Quality of Interactions | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State $(N = 258)$ | 43.9 | 13.1 | .82 | 16 | 36 | 46 | 54 | 60 | | | | |
| Southeast Public | 42.1 | 12.2 | .06 | 20 | 34 | 44 | 50 | 60 | 48,751 | 1.8 | .018 | .14 |
| Carnegie Class | 42.9 | 11.9 | .10 | 20 | 36 | 44 | 52 | 60 | 264 | 1.0 | .212 | .08 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 42.2 | 12.1 | .02 | 20 | 35 | 44 | 50 | 60 | 243,885 | 1.6 | .031 | .13 |
| Top 50% | 44.8 | 11.6 | .04 | 23 | 38 | 46 | 54 | 60 | 258 | 9 | .267 | 07 |
| Top 10% | 46.9 | 12.1 | .08 | 23 | 40 | 50 | 58 | 60 | 26,105 | -3.0 | .000 | 24 |
| Supportive Environment | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fayetteville State ($N = 269$) | 34.9 | 15.7 | .96 | 8 | 23 | 37 | 48 | 60 | | | | |
| Southeast Public | 33.5 | 14.2 | .06 | 10 | 23 | 33 | 43 | 60 | 270 | 1.4 | .149 | .09 |
| Carnegie Class | 32.5 | 14.0 | .11 | 10 | 23 | 33 | 40 | 58 | 275 | 2.4 | .015 | .16 |
| NSSE 2016 & 2017 | 32.3 | 14.2 | .03 | 10 | 23 | 33 | 40 | 60 | 268 | 2.5 | .009 | .17 |
| Top 50% | 34.8 | 13.7 | .04 | 13 | 25 | 35 | 45 | 60 | 269 | .1 | .918 | .00 |
| Top 10% | 37.2 | 13.6 | .10 | 13 | 28 | 38 | 48 | 60 | 273 | -2.3 | .017 | 16 |

a. Results weighted by institution-reported sex and enrollment status (and institutional size for comparison groups).

b. Standard deviation is a measure of the amount the individual scores deviate from the mean of all the scores in the distribution.

c. Standard error of the mean, used to compute a confidence interval (CI) around the sample mean. For example, the 95% CI (equal to the sample mean +/- 1.96 x SEM)

is the range that is 95% likely to contain the true population mean.

d. A percentile is the point in the distribution of student-level EI scores at or below which a given percentage of EI scores fall.

e. Degrees of freedom used to compute the t-tests. Values vary from the total Ns due to weighting and whether equal variances were assumed.

f. Statistical significance represents the probability that the difference between the mean of your institution and that of the comparison group occurred by chance.

g. Effect size is the mean difference divided by the pooled standard deviation.